

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
TUESDAY, AUGUST 28, 2007  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S154215**      B199680 Second Appellate District, Div. 5      **HILT (MICHAEL) ON H.C.**  
The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 5, 2007.

**S154245**      E041260 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2      **PEOPLE v. FIELDS (KENNETH ROCHAE)**  
The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 5, 2007.

**S053228**      **PEOPLE v. ALEXANDER (ANDRE S.)**

Extension of time granted.

On the court's own motion, appellant is relieved from default for failure to timely file a request for extension of time. Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Susan Ten Kwan's representation that she anticipates filing the supplemental reply brief by April 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 9, 2007. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

**S105908**      **PEOPLE v. GHOBRIAL (JOHN SAMUEL)**

Extension of time granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 29, 2007.

**S110741**      **PADILLA (ALFREDO ALVARADO) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted.

Good cause appearing, the request of petitioner for an extension of time to file the exceptions to the report of referee and brief on the merits is extended to and including September 4, 2007. Upon the court's own motion, the time for filing respondent's exceptions to the referee's report and brief on the merits is also extended to and September 4, 2007.

**S146482****JONES (MARLIN) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted.

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response is extended to September 21, 2007.

**S147917****GARCIA (ISHMAEL) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted.

On application of respondent, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response, is extended to September 11, 2007.

**S151370**

A112539 First Appellate District, Div. 3

**BONANDER (JIMMIE D.) v.  
TOWN OF TIBURON**

Extension of time granted.

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to September 17, 2007.

**S152360** B188076/B189254 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **VAN HORN (ALEXANDRA) v.  
WATSON (ANTHONY  
GLEN);  
(TORTI)**

Extension of time granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to October 15, 2007.

**S152912****HART (JOSEPH WILLIAM) ON  
H.C.**

Extension of time granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Pamela A. Ratner's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by November 4, 2007, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to October 5, 2007. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

**S154053****PEOPLE v. RHOADES  
(ROBERT BOYD)**

Extension of time granted.

Good cause appearing therefore, in light of the fact that the clerk's transcript exceeds 10,000 pages, the request of the superior court clerk for an extension of time to complete the clerk's transcript on appeal is granted. (See Cal. Rules of Ct., rule 8.616(d)(1),(2).) The superior court clerk is directed to complete the clerk's transcript on appeal on or before September 19, 2007, and to notify the Clerk of this court in writing as soon as the transcript is completed.

**S153435**      F048580 Fifth Appellate District**PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (MARIO  
LEE)**

Counsel appointment order filed.

Upon request of appellant Mario Lee Williams for appointment of counsel, Candace Hale is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S154035**      F050481 Fifth Appellate District**PEOPLE v. ZEPEDA  
(BAUDELIO)**

Counsel appointment order filed.

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Maureen L. Fox is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S150352****GRINKER (PAUL A.) ON H.C.**

Order filed.

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response is extended to September 21, 2007.

**S155605****EXPUNGEMENT - SUSPENSION**

Order filed.

The Board of Governors of the State Bar of California having on the 23rd day of August, 2007, filed in this court its resolution recommending, for the attached list of members, expungement of their suspension from the practice of law and membership in The State Bar of California for failure to pay membership fees, and the Board of Governors have ascertained and determined that each such member meets the criteria for expungement set forth in Rule 9.6(b) of the California Rules of Court; IT IS ORDERED that the suspension for nonpayment of membership fees of each person hereinafter named be expunged by deleting or removing the record of such suspension from the member's record; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that notwithstanding the expungement the State Bar will maintain such internal records as are necessary to apply the terms of Rule 9.6(b) and to report to the Commission on Judicial Nominees Evaluation or appropriate governmental entities involved in judicial elections the member's eligibility for a judgeship under the California Constitution, article VI, section 15; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that expungement of the member's suspension will not relieve the member of his or her duty to disclose the suspension for purpose of determining the member's eligibility for a judgeship under the California Constitution, article VI, section 15, and for all other purposes the suspension expunged under this order is deemed not to have occurred and them member may answer accordingly any question relating to his or her membership record.  
(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED ON THE ORIGINAL ORDER.)

**S153982****ANJOZIAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed.

It is ordered that ALAN ARTHUR ANJOZIAN, State Bar No. 149774 be suspended from the practice of law for 18 months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including 120 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 2, 2007. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

**S153984****SMITH ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: Disbarred.

It is hereby ordered that LAWRENCE GORDON SMITH State Bar No. 83901 be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

**S153987****ALEXANDER ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: Disbarred.

It is hereby ordered that STEPHEN J. ALEXANDER, State Bar No. 134168, be summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and to perform the acts specified in paragraphs (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 45 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

**S153988****HUNTER ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed.

It is ordered that MATTHEW JAMES HUNTER, State Bar No. 221631 be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including five months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 3, 2007. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Credit toward the period of actual suspension must be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on August 14, 2006, (*In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 270). It is ordered that costs be awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, and be payable in equal installments prior to February 1 with membership fees for the next two billing cycles following the effective date of this order. It is further ordered that if respondent fails to pay any installment within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.